Airborne Topographic LiDAR Report

Wisconsin WROC / 3DEP 2016-17 LiDAR Project Report – Taylor County

October 31, 2016



Prime contractor: Ayres Associates Inc Airborne LiDAR Acquisition Completed by Quantum Spatial, Inc.



Contact: Ayres Associates, Geospatial Division 5201 East Terrace Drive, Suite 200, Madison, WI, 53718 (608) 443-1200





TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Summary / Scope	3
	1.1. Summary	
	1.2. Scope	3
	1.3. Coverage	3
	1.4. Duration	3
	1.5. Issues	4
	1.6. Deliverables	4
2.	Planning / Equipment	6
	2.1. Flight Planning	6
	2.2. LiDAR Sensor	6
	2.3. Aircraft	g
	2.4. Base Stations	10
	2.5. Time Period	10
3.	Processing Summary	12
	3.1. Flight Logs	12
	3.2. LiDAR Processing.	13
4.	Project Coverage Verification	14
5.	Ground Control and Checkpoint Collection	16
	5.1. Calibration Control Points	16





LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Project Boundary
Figure 2.	Planned Flight Lines
Figure 3.	Optech Orion H300 LiDAR Sensor
Figure 4.	Some of Quantum Spatial's Aircraft
Figure 5.	Base Station Locations
Figure 6.	Flightline Swath LAS File Coverage
Figure 7.	Calibration Point Locations

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Originally Planned LiDAR Specifications Table 2: LiDAR System Specifications Table 3. Base Station Locations Table 4. Calibration Control Report

LIST OF APPENDICES

GPS / IMU Statistics, Flight Logs, and Base Station Logs Appendix A.





1. Summary / Scope

1.1. Summary

This report contains a summary of the Taylor County portion of the Wisconsin WROC / 3DEP LiDAR 2016-17 - acquisition task order, issued by Ayres under their Task Order 20 dated March 7, 2016. The task order yielded a project area covering approximately 992 total square miles over Taylor County, Wisconsin. The intent of this document is only to provide specific validation information for the data acquisition/collection, processing, and production of deliverables completed as specified in the task order.

1.2. Scope

Aerial topographic LiDAR was acquired using state of the art technology along with the necessary surveyed ground control points (GCPs) and airborne GPS and inertial navigation systems. The aerial data collection was designed with the following specifications listed in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Originally Planned LiDAR Specifications

Density	Flight Altitude (AGL)	Field of View	Minimum Side Overlap	RMSEz
$\geq 2 \text{ pts} / m_2$	1,700 m	38°	30%	≤ 10 cm

1.3. Coverage

The LiDAR project boundary covers approximately 992 square miles over Taylor County in northern Wisconsin. LiDAR extents are shown in Figure 1. A buffer of 100 meters was created to meet task order specifications.

1.4. Duration

LiDAR data was acquired from April 14, 2016 to April 16, 2016 in five total lifts. See "Section: 2.5. Time Period" for more details.





1.5. **Issues**

There were no issues to report with this project.

1.6. Deliverables

The following products were produced and delivered:


- Flight plans in digital format
- As-flown flight lines in Esri shapefile format
- Flight logs and notes
- Flight Quality Control Report
- WISCORS and supplemental base station data and OPUS reports
- LiDAR point cloud data, tiled, in LAS 1.4 format
- LiDAR point cloud data, in raw swaths, in LAS 1.4 format
- SBET/ABGPS/IMU materials and documentation
- Trajectories in .TRJ format
- All Flight mission parameters appropriate for inclusion in FGDC/USGS compliant metadata

All geospatial deliverables were produced in NAD83 (2011) Taylor County Coordinate System (WISCRS), US survey feet; NAVD88 (Geoid 12A), US survey feet. All tiled deliverables were produced with a tile size of 4,500 feet x 4,500 feet. The tile index consists of 1,404 tiles.





Figure 1. Project Boundary







2. Planning / Equipment

2.1. Flight Planning

Flight planning was based on the unique project requirements and characteristics of the project site. The basis of planning included: required accuracies, type of development, amount / type of vegetation within project area, required data posting, and potential altitude restrictions for flights in project vicinity.

Detailed project flight planning calculations were performed for the project using Optech MissionNAV planning software. The entire target area was comprised of 52 planned flight lines measuring approximately 2,239.37 total flight line miles (Figure 2).

2.2. LiDAR Sensor

Quantum Spatial utilized one Optech Orion H300 LiDAR sensor (Figure 3), serial number 324, during the project. This system is capable of collecting data at a maximum frequency of 300 kHz, which affords elevation data collection of up to 300,000 points per second. This system utilizes a Multi-Pulse in the Air option (MPIA). This sensor is also equipped with the ability to measure up to 5 returns per outgoing pulse from the laser and these come in the form of 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, and last returns. The intensity of the first four returns is also captured during aerial acquisition.

A brief summary of the aerial acquisition parameters for the project are shown in the LiDAR System Specifications in Table 2.





Figure 2. Planned Flight Lines






Table 2: LiDAR System Specifications

Terrain and Aircraft	Flying Height	1,700 m	
Scanner Scanner	Recommended Ground Speed	140 kts	
	Field of View	38°	
Scanner	Scan Rate Setting Used	52 Hz	
Laser	Laser Pulse Rate Used	225 kHz	
Lasei	Multi Pulse in Air Mode	Enabled	
Commen	Full Swath Width	1,170.71 m	
Coverage	Line Spacing	818 m	
Point Spacing and Density			

Figure 3. Optech Orion H300 LiDAR Sensor







Aircraft 2.3.

All flights for the project were accomplished through the use of a customized Cessna 402 (twin-piston), Tail Number N246MP. This aircraft provided an ideal, stable aerial base for LiDAR and orthoimagery acquisition. This aerial platform has relatively fast cruise speeds which are beneficial for project mobilization / demobilization while maintaining relatively slow stall speeds which proved ideal for collection of high-density, consistent data posting using state-of-the-art Optech LiDAR systems. Some of Quantum Spatial's operating aircraft can be seen in Figure 4 below.



Figure 4. Some of Quantum Spatial's Aircraft





2.4. Base Stations

GPS base stations were utilized during all phases of flight (Table 3). The base station locations were verified using NGS OPUS service and subsequent surveys. Base station locations are depicted in Figure 5. Data sheets, graphical depiction of base station locations or log sheets used during station occupation are available in Appendix A.

Table 3. Base Station Locations

Base Station	Base Station Latitude		Ellipsoid Height (m)	
0884	45° 6' 14.7859"	90° 18' 32.40171"	412.820	
STL0	45° 4' 11.79823"	90° 18' 13.7366"	407.973	

2.5. Time Period

Project specific flights were conducted over three days. Five sorties, or aircraft lifts were completed. Accomplished sorties are listed below.

- Apr 14, 2016-B (N246MP, SN324)
- Apr 15, 2016-A (N246MP, SN324)
- Apr 15, 2016-B (N246MP, SN324)
- Apr 16, 2016-A (N246MP, SN324)
- Apr 16, 2016-B (N246MP, SN324)





0334 Ster STLO Legend Miles 2.5 5 10 Base Stations Project Boundary - Taylor Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors; and the GIS User Community

Figure 5. Base Station Locations





3. Processing Summary

3.1. Flight Logs

Flight logs were completed by LIDAR sensor technicians for each mission during acquisition. These logs depict a variety of information, including:

- Job / Project #
- Flight Date / Lift Number
- FOV (Field of View)
- Scan Rate (HZ)
- Pulse Rate Frequency (Hz)
- Ground Speed
- Altitude
- Base Station
- PDOP avoidance times
- Flight Line #
- Flight Line Start and Stop Times
- Flight Line Altitude (AMSL)
- Heading
- Speed
- Returns
- Crab

Notes: (Visibility, winds, ride, weather, temperature, dew point, pressure, etc). Project specific flight logs for each sortie are available in Appendix A.





3.2. LiDAR Processing

Applanix + POSPac Mobile Mapping Suite software was used for post-processing of airborne GPS and inertial data (IMU), which is critical to the positioning and orientation of the LiDAR sensor during all flights. POSPac combines aircraft raw trajectory data with stationary GPS base station data yielding a "Smoothed Best Estimate Trajectory (SBET) necessary for additional post processing software to develop the resulting geo-referenced point cloud from the LiDAR missions.

During the sensor trajectory processing (combining GPS & IMU datasets) certain statistical graphs and tables are generated within the Applanix POSPac processing environment which are commonly used as indicators of processing stability and accuracy. This data for analysis include: Max horizontal / vertical GPS variance, separation plot, altitude plot, PDOP plot, base station baseline length, processing mode, number of satellite vehicles, and mission trajectory. All relevant graphs produced in the POSPac processing environment for each sortie during the project mobilization are available in Appendix A.

The generated point cloud is the mathematical three dimensional composite of all returns from all laser pulses as determined from the aerial mission. Laser point data are imported into TerraScan and a manual calibration is performed to assess the system offsets for pitch, roll, heading and scale. At this point this data is ready for analysis, classification, and filtering to generate a bare earth surface model in which the above-ground features are removed from the data set. Point clouds were created using the Optech DashMap Post Processor software. GeoCue distributive processing software was used in the creation of some files needed in downstream processing, as well as in the tiling of the dataset into more manageable file sizes. TerraScan and TerraModeler software packages were then used for the automated data classification, manual cleanup, and bare earth generation. Project specific macros were developed to classify the ground and remove side overlap between parallel flight lines.

All data was manually reviewed and any remaining artifacts removed using functionality provided by TerraScan and TerraModeler. Global Mapper was used as a final check of the dataset. GeoCue was used to create the deliverable industry-standard LAS files for the All Point Cloud Data.





4. Project Coverage Verification

Coverage verification was performed by comparing coverage of processed .LAS files captured during project collection to generate project shape files depicting boundaries of specified project areas. Please refer to Figure 6.





Project Boundary - Taylor

D C B Legend Mission A: Apr 14, 2016-B (N246MP, SN324) B: Apr 15, 2016-A (N246MP, SN324) C: Apr 15, 2016-B (N246MP, SN324) D: Apr 16, 2016-A (N246MP, SN324) Miles 2.5 5 10 E: Apr 16, 2016-B (N246MP, SN324)

Figure 6. Flightline Swath LAS File Coverage

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand)-MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community





5. Ground Control and Checkpoint Collection

Quantum Spatial utilized 13 ground control (calibration) points collected by Ayres Associates, Inc. as an independent test of the accuracy of this project. In this document, horizontal coordinates for ground control and QA points for all LiDAR classes are reported in NAD83 (2011) Taylor County Coordinate System (WISCRS), US survey feet; NAVD88 (Geoid 12A), US survey feet.

5.1. Calibration Control Points

TerraScan was used to perform a quality assurance check for each of the LiDAR bare earth calibration points. Note that these results of the surface calibration are not an independent assessment of the accuracy of these project deliverables, but the statistical results do provide additional feedback as to the overall quality of the elevation surface. See Figure 7 and Table 4.





Figure 7. Calibration Point Locations








Table 4. Calibration Point Report Units = US Survey Feet

Number	Easting	Northing	Known Z	Laser Z	Dz
1000	506027.930	424263.446	1186.693	1186.530	-0.163
1001	500246.467	300318.267	1161.825	1161.850	+0.025
1002	726472.394	332834.628	1417.707	1417.810	+0.103
1003	724753.799	427191.055	1626.770	1626.580	-0.190
10004	547867.325	316142.690	1303.870	1303.690	-0.180
A	506027.930	424263.446	1186.693	1186.530	-0.163
10036	547484.359	358640.981	1291.712	1291.870	+0.158
10041	652890.022	353346.371	1490.735	1490.920	+0.185
10042	681556.143	401567.091	1568.788	1568.660	-0.128
10043	706137.597	340768.623	1466.681	1466.590	-0.091
10045	682658.816	301073.956	1419.203	1419.080	-0.123
10046	626810.958	342104.735	1378.724	1378.960	+0.236
10048	563631.058	416000.770	1329.167	1329.120	-0.047
	Average Dz	-0.029 ft			
Minimum Dz Maximum Dz		-0.190 ft			
		+0.236 ft			
	Average Magnitude	0.138 ft			
	Root Mean Square	0.149 ft			